And now?

Now, after five years, I -the independent inventor- have to speculate, to guess, which Patent Office is the right and which Patent Office is the wrong!

Because their "final actions" are opposite to each other!

There is no third option: either EPO (by mistake or incapability) approved the patent, or USPTO (by mistake or incapability) refuses to grant the same patent.

The milestone behind "patenting" was the award of the inventor for his novel and useful idea, and thus the promotion of the technology further and further. Instead, the Patenting System, as it runs today, "punishes" the independent inventor with exhaustive delays, with many fees and penalties, with numerous formalities and with "deadly strict" time limits. The patenting procedure, as it is now, "consumes" a good part of inventor's potential, maybe more than the invention itself.

A patent, i.e. "a writing securing to the inventor for a term of years the exclusive right to make, use or sell an invention" according English dictionary, was considered as something quite serious. The response, for the same patent application, from the two biggest Patent Offices of the world shows that the grant of a paten is not so serious thing any longer.

The Patenting System needs urgent repair, if not a full rebuilding.

My case, which is undisputable according the documents from EPO and USPTO, could help to improve things for the future inventors.

With the European patent granted, I hope you will reconsider my case.

Thank you. Manousos Pattakos





RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JAN 0 9 2009

European Patent Office Postbus 5818 2280 HV Rijswijk NETHERLANDS Tel: +31 70 340 2040 Fax: +31 70 340 3018



Pattakos, Manousos 356 Lampraki 184 52 Nikea Piraeus GRÈCE Formalities Officer Name: Barrera, Walter Tel: +31 70 340 - 0 or call +31 (0)70 340 45 00

Application No.	Ref.	Date
04 769 056.5 - 1263	VVAroller	30.12.2008
Applicant Pattakos, Manousos, et al		

Communication under Rule 71(3) EPC

You are informed that the Examining Division intends to grant a European patent on the basis of the above application with the text and drawings as indicated below:

In the text for the Contracting States: AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PL PT RO SE SI SK TR

Description, Pages

1-11

received on 23.10.2008 with letter of 23.10.2008

Claims, Numbers

1-8

received on 11.11.2008 with letter of 11.11.2008

Drawings, Sheets

1/16-16/16

received on 23.10.2008 with letter of 23.10.2008

With the following amendments to the above-mentioned documents by the examining division

Claims, Numbers

2-6,8*

Comments

* Minor text issues in the claims 2 to 5 and 8 to make claims more clearly dependent on claim 1 (Article 84 EPC); Skipping of the word "region" in view of Article 123(2)EPC in claim 4; Adding of "arranged" (Article 84EPC) in claim 6.